

UM-Dearborn Candidate Suite Surveys

The Michigan Department of Education (MDE) administers a survey to teacher candidates, university supervisors and cooperating teachers during the student teaching internship semester to all EPPs across the state. The electronic surveys are sent directly to all of the participants in each group. MDE collects and sends the results to the EPPs. The combined summary results for 2024-25 are shown below in Tables 1-3. Each individual category contains one to ten items on the survey. Efficacy is defined as a response of "To a Great Extent" or "To a Moderate Extent" to the listed questions. The ratings for the state averages for all EPPs in Michigan are also provided in the tables below.

Table 1: Teacher Candidate Summary

Candidate Category Summaries	State Average Efficacy	State Total N	%	UMD Efficacy	UMD Total N	%
Meeting Student Needs	27759	29766	93.3%	716	781	91.7%
Technology	7916	8118	97.5%	213	213	100.0%
Instructional Strategies and Assessment	22988	24354	94.4%	596	639	93.3%
Professionalism	8078	8118	99.5%	213	213	100.0%
Professional Awareness	18551	21648	85.7%	496	568	87.3%
Diverse Opportunities	4860	5412	89.8%	126	142	88.7%
Clinical Exp & Program Prep	17144	18764	91.4%	474	493	96.1%
Overall	2678	2706	99.0%	71	71	100.0%

Table 2: Candidate Supervisor Summary

Supervisor Category Summaries	State Average Efficacy	State Total N	%	UMD Efficacy	UMD Total N	%
Meeting Student Needs	31029	32978	94.1%	787	803	98.0%
Technology	8735	8994	97.1%	209	219	95.4%
Instructional Strategies and Assessment	25825	26982	95.7%	639	657	97.3%
Professionalism	8881	8994	98.7%	214	219	97.7%
Impact	2961	2998	98.8%	73	73	100.0%
Program Partnership Strength	20218	20986	96.3%	511	511	100.0%
Overall	2960	2998	98.7%	73	73	100.0%

Table 3: Cooperating Teacher Summary

Coop. Teacher Category Summaries	State Average Efficacy	State Total N	%	UMD Efficacy	UMD Total N	%
Meeting Student Needs	29063	32450	89.6%	714	770	92.7%
Technology	8429	8850	95.2%	203	210	96.7%
Instructional Strategies and Assessment	23677	26550	89.2%	558	630	88.6%
Professionalism	8536	8850	96.5%	204	210	97.1%
Impact	2872	2950	97.4%	69	70	98.6%
Program Partnership Strength	17709	20650	85.8%	428	490	87.3%
Overall	2840	2950	96.3%	69	70	98.6%

Analysis

The first group of participants, Teacher Candidates, responses were all above the 80% benchmark for each of the different categories. The Teacher Candidate scores for each category were compared to the statewide averages and were very similar to the statewide averages for each category.

The second group of participants, University Supervisors, responses were very high for efficacy across the different categories with all significantly above the 80% benchmark. Scores were also very similar to the statewide averages.

The third group of participants, Cooperating Teachers, responses for efficacy across the different categories were above the 80% benchmark. Scores were also very similar to the statewide averages. In general, the responses for cooperating teachers tend to be slightly lower than the first two groups of participants.